State: Rajasthan MDM-PAB-2011-12

#### Part-A: General Information

#### 1.1 Introduction:

Mid-Day-Meal Programme is being implemented under the Administrative Control of Panchayti Raj Department in Rajasthan. Mid-Day-Meal is provided to all students of Class I to VIII studying in Government, Government Aided, schools run by local bodies, EGS/AIE centers, Minority based institutions and centers under National Child Labour Projects. State Government started providing cooked meal in MDM programme from July, 2002 from the state budget. Under this programme, Ghooghri / Dalia etc. was served. From January 2005, the cooking conversion cost was increase to Rs. 1.50 per student per day. It was then, that new recipes such as Dal-Bati, Dal-Roti, Roti-Sabji, Sweet/ Namkeen Rice, Khichdi, Dalia, Pulav etc. were introduced. From March, 2006 the cooking conversion cost was further increased to Rs. 2.00 per student per day for primary students. In October 2007, the state government started implementing Mid Day Meal in Classes from VI to VIII in Educationally Backward Blocks. And later this was implemented in all the blocks. The menu includes a provision to provide seasonal fruits once a week along with Mid-Day-Meal.

#### 1.2 Basic Information:

(Year 2010-11)

S. No.	INDICATORS	STATUS			
1. No.	of Institutions	PRY	U PRY	TOTAL	
i)	Approved by MDM-PAB for 2010-11	68470	12200	80670	
ii)	Total institutions during the year	72741	14208	86949	
ii)	Institutions serving MDM during the	68470	12200	80670	
	year	(100%)	(100%)	(100%)	
2. No.	of Children				
i)	Approved by MDM-PAB	4100000	1920000	6020000	
li)	Enrollment as on 30.9.2010	5280753	2059803	7340556	
iii)	Avg No. of children availed MDM	4045772	1875387	5921158	
	during 1.4.10 to 31.12.2010	[98%]*	[98%]*	[98%]*	
3	Quarterly Progress Report (QPR)				
i)	Average no. of children availed	4411125	1872687	6283812	
	MDM as per <b>QPR-1</b>	[108%]*	[98%]*	[104 <b>%]*</b>	
ii)	Average no. of children availed	4089357	1919759	6009116	
	MDM as per QPR-2	[100%]*	[100%]*	[100%]*	
iii)	Average no. of children availed	3749996	1821432	5571428	
	MDM as per QPR-3	[91%]*	[95%]*	[93%]*	

#### \*:-% of PAB Approved

2. N	o. of Working days	PRY	U PRY
( days f	or PY & U PY approved by PAB for FY 2010-11)		
i)	Approved for <b>Q-1</b>	37	37
	Achievement during <b>Q-1</b>	37	37
	Difference	(+)	(+)
ii)	Approved for <b>Q-2</b>	71	71
	Achievement during Q-2	71	71
	Difference	(+)	(+)
iii)	Approved for <b>Q-3</b>	56	56
	Achievement during Q-3	56	56
	Difference	(+)	(+)
iv)	Approved for <b>1.4.10 to 31.12.10</b>	164	164
•	Achievement as on 31.12.10	164	164
	Difference	(+)	(+)

3. Fina	ncial Status	PRY	U PRY
AVERAGE UNIT COOKING COST PCPD *		Rs 2.02	Rs 3.02
i)	State's contributions in cooking cost per child per day*	Rs 0.67	Rs 1.01
ii)	Budget Provision for cooking cost for	Rs. 46498.82 Lakh.	
	the year 2010-11	(Central and State )	

<sup>\*:</sup> Unit cost and State's contribution as per Allocation of cooking cost in Table-7 & 7A

4. QPF	Status	Status
i)	1 <sup>st</sup> Quarter dated 08.09.2010	Received on 23.08.2010
ii)	2 <sup>nd</sup> Quarter dated 18.11.2010	Received on 28.10.2010
iii)	3 <sup>rd</sup> Quarter	Received on 11.02.2011 (Late)

#### Part-B: Review of Performance in 2010-11 (01.04.10 to 31.12.2010)

**2.** Based on the information provided by the State Govt. in its AWP&B 2011-12, the performance during 2010-11 (01.04.10 to 31.12.2010) was reviewed. The major issues in implementation during 2010-11 are summarized as under: -

#### 2.1 NON-COVERAGE (Institutions)

Coverage in terms of No. of institutions. Difference between PAB Approval Vs No. of institutions Vs No. of institutions served MDM-

#### i) PRIMARY

a) Nnumber of institutions approved by MDM PAB for 2010-11 = 68,470 b) Nnumber of institutions during the year 2010-11 = **72741** 

c) Number of institutions served MDM during 01.04.10 TO 31.12.10 = **68470 (100%)** 

#### ii) UPPER PRIMARY (without PY attached)

a) Nnumber of institutions approved by MDM PAB for 2010-11 = 12,200 b) Nnumber of institutions during the year 2010-11 = 14208

c) Number of institutions served MDM during 01.04.10 TO 31.12.10 = 12,200 (100%)

#### 2.2 NON-COVERAGE (No. of Children)

## 2.2.1 PAB Approval (Target) Vs Average No. of Children Availed MDM (Achievement) –PRIMARY (Refer Table 2.3 of the Analysis Sheet).

i) The number of children approved by MDM PAB for 2010-11 = 41,00000 Average number of children availed MDM during 01.04.10 TO 31.12.10 = 4045772(98%)

ii) On district-wise analysis, substantial difference between the target and achievement is noticed in the following districts:

SI.	Districts	No. of Children	Average No. of	Difference	
No.		availed MDM as per PAB for 2010-11	children availed MDM	No.	%
1	2	3	4	5 =4-3	6
Non-Co	verage				
1	Jaiselmer	49404	38535	-10869	-22%
2	Nagaur	196293	169932	-26361	-13%
3	Barmer	305271	269096	-36175	-12%
4	Banswara	188993	166963	-22030	-12%
5	Bhilwara	171229	153996	-17233	-10%
6	Ajmer	147950	133249	-14701	-10%
7	Jaipur	303108	275647	-27461	-9%
8	Bharatpur	148382	135436	-12946	-9%
EXCESS					
1	S.Madhopur	73668	83910	10242	14%
2	Kota	65199	75545	10346	16%
3	Tonk	79553	93036	13483	17%
4	Jhunjhunu	69803	82145	12342	18%
5	Rajsamand	80438	96130	15692	20%
6	Baran	67229	81284	14055	21%
7	Partapgarh	58743	73850	15107	26%
8	Sirohi	54536	72569	18033	33%
9	Bundi	49233	71166	21933	45%

## 2.2.2 PAB Approval (Target) Vs Average No. of Children Availed MDM (Achievement) – UPPER PRIMARY (Refer Table 2.4 of the Analysis Sheet).

- i) The number of children approved by MDM PAB for 2010-11 = 19,20000 Average number of children availed MDM during 01.04.10 TO 31.12.10 = 1875387 (98%)
- ii) On district-wise analysis, substantial difference between the target and achievement is noticed in the following districts:

SI.	Districts	No. of Children	Average No. of	Difference		
No.		availed MDM as per PAB for 2010-11	children availed MDM	No.	%	
1	2	3	4	5 =4-3	6	
Non Co	verage					
1	Pali	79913	70423	-9490	-12%	
2	Alwar	97954	91570	-6384	-7%	
3	Kota	37067	34861	-2206	-6%	
4	Jodhpur	83940	79098	-4842	-6%	
5	Nagaur	109256	103112	-6144	-6%	
Excess	S					
1	Karauli	37104	40356	3252	9%	
2	Baran	29166	32054	2888	10%	
3	Jaiselmer	13283	16760	3477	26%	

#### 2.3 NON-COVERAGE (No. of Meals) [PY+U PY]

### 2.3.1 No. of meal to be served as per MDM-PAB (Target) Vs. No. of meals served (Achievement)-(Refer Table 2.5 of the Analysis Sheet).

- i) The number of meals to be served during 01.04.10 TO 31.12.10 =987280000 **Crore**The number of meals served during 01.04.10 TO 31.12.10 = 971069974 **Crore (98%)**
- ii) On district-wise analysis, substantial difference between the target and achievement is noticed in the following districts:

Sl.	Districts	Number of meals	Number of	Shortage		
No.		to be served (In Cr.)	meals served (In Cr.)	No. (In Cr.)	%	
1	2	3	4	5 =4-3	6	
LOW						
1	Jaiselmer	10280668	9068341	1212327	12	
2	Nagaur	50110036	44779217	5330819	11	
3	Banswara	39353276	35357811	3995465	10	
4	Barmer	65142112	58747657	6394455	10	
5	Bhilwara	41799008	38329258	3469750	8	
6	Pali	35164388	32407950	2756438	8	
7	Ajmer	37225868	34384593	2841275	8	
8	Jodhpur	57514636	53182619	4332017	8	
EXCE	SS					
1	Karauli	19157824	21113216	1955392	10	

2	Tonk	19366760	21460356	2093596	11
3	S.Madhopur	16817708	18696599	1878891	11
4	Rajsamand	20839808	23630406	2790598	13
5	Baran	15808780	18587478	2778698	18
6	Partapgarh	14430196	17044299	2614103	18
7	Sirohi	14560576	17620263	3059687	21
8	Bundi	13676616	17185117	3508501	26

#### 2.4 FOODGRAINS (PRIMARY + UPPER PRIMARY)

#### 2.4.1 Level of Opening balance as on 01.04.10:

i) An analysis of the district wise opening balance of food grains as on 01.04.2010 shows, 8 districtS had Negative and 5 districts had less than 5% opening balance of foodgrains allocation. (Refer Table 3.2.1 of the Analysis Sheet).

#### Opening Balance as on 01.04.2010

(Ref: Table no. 3.2.1 of Analysis Sheet)

	(-) ve	0%	Less than 5%	5%-10%	11%-20%	Above 20%	Total
No. of Districts	8	1	5	6	7	6	33

#### 2.4.2 Level of unspent balance as on 31.12.10:

An analysis of the district wise unspent balance of food grains as on 31.12.10 shows,16 districts had less than 5% unspent balance of foodgrains as on 31.12.10. Details of level are as follows: (Refer Table 3.2.2 of the Analysis Sheet).

#### Unspent Balance as on 31.12.2010

(Ref: Table no. 3.2.2 of Analysis Sheet)

	0%	Less than 5%	5%-10%	11%-20%	Above 20%	Total
No. of Districts	0	16	11	6	0	33

Districts having NEGATIVE/Low unspent balance as on 31.03.10 (or) 31.12.10 is unlikely in view of the fact that

- a. As per the format supplied by MHRD vide Table-6 of AWP&B 2010-11, the unspent balance includes balance stock at District, Block and School levels.
- b.The NIL and Negative closing balance indicate that entire allocation has been utilized during the period 01.04.08 to 31.03.10 as well as during 01.04.10 to 31.12.10.
- c. In the State, there were 13 working days in may 2010 & 27 in July, 2010 as per AWP&B 2010-11.
- d. As per MDM Guidelines, 2006 para 3.3 (iv), States/UTs are to ensure that a minimum of one-month buffer stock of foodgrains and cooking costs should be available in each school.

The State Govt. is requested to confirm that the Negative and NIL unutilized balance as on 31.03.10 and on 31.12.10 is only after taking into consideration the unutilized stock at School, Block and District levels as has been prescribed in the Framework for AWP&B 2011-12 by MHRD, Govt. of India.

#### 2.4.3 Huge Unspent Balances as on 31.03.10 and 31.12.10:

The State Govt. is showing huge unutilized stock in certain districts. The details are as under:-

(Ref: Table no. 3.2.1/2 of Analysis Sheet)

(in MTs)

SI. No.	Districts	USB as on	Annual	USB as % of Annual
		31.03.10	requirement	requirement.
1	Dausa	446.14	3864.40	12%
2	Chittorgarh	528.95	3515.40	15%
3	Udaipur	952.71	6278.02	15%
4	Jaiselmer	263.76	1587.62	17%
5	Bundi	437.68	2300.87	19%

#### 2.4.4 Availability of Food grains: (01.04.10 to 31.12.10)

Considering that three-fourths of the year was over by 31.12.2010, the districts should have availability <u>at least 85%</u> of the food grains allocation. **State level availability as on 31.12.10 was 76%. (Table Refer 3.5 of the Analysis sheet).** On analysis of district wise availability as on 31.12.10, the following position emerges:-

<u>Total No. of Districts = 33</u> (Bench mark availability = 85%) (Ref : Table no. 3.5 of Analysis Sheet)

SI.	Level of	No. of	Name of the districts
No.	availability	Districts	
1	Upto 60%	0	
2	61% to 85%	27	
3	Above 85%	6	Udaipur (86%), Partapgarh (86%), Jhalawar (87%), Sirohi (89%), Chittorgarh (92%) Bundi (106%) etc.

#### 2.4.5 **Utilization of food grains:**

#### PRIMARY + UPPER PRIMARY: (01.04.10 to 31.12.10)

An analysis of the utilization of foodgrains during 2010-11 (*Refer Table 3.7 Analysis Sheet*) shows wide variations in inter-district food grain utilization. Considering that three-fourths of the year was over by 31.12.2010, the districts should have utilized <u>at least 75%</u> of the foodgrains allocation. **State level utilization was only 70% as on 31.12.2010.** Level of inter-district variations in utilization is summarized as under: -

<u>Total No. of Districts = 33</u> (Bench mark utilisation = 75%)

(Ref: Table no. 3.7 of Analysis Sheet)

SI. No.	Level of utilisation	No. of Districts	Name of the districts
1	Upto 50%	0	
2	51% to 75%	20	
3	Above 75%	13	Partapgarh & Baran (83%), Sirohi(84%), Bundi (87%) etc

#### 2.5 COOKING COST (PRIMARY + UPPER PRIMARY)

#### 2.5.1 Level of Opening balance as on 01.04.10:

i) An analysis of the district wise opening balance of cooking cost as on 01.04.2010 shows that all 33 districts had <u>uniformly 4%</u> opening balance of cooking cost allocation. (Refer Table 4.3.1 of the Analysis Sheet).

#### 2.5.2 Level of unspent balance as on 31.12.10:

An analysis of the district wise unspent balance of cooking cost as on 31.12.10 shows 33 districts had unspent balance of allocation of cooking cost.

#### Unspent Balance as on 31.12.2010

(Ref: Table no. 4.3.2 of Analysis Sheet)

	(-) ve	0%	Less than 5%	5%-10%	11%-20%	Above 20%	Total
No. of Districts	0	0	0		10	23	33

#### 2.5.3 Huge Unspent Balances as 31.12.10:

The State Govt. is showing huge unspent balances in certain districts. The details are as under:-

(Ref: Table no. 4.3.2 of Analysis Sheet)

(Rs. In lakhs)

Sl. No.	Districts	USB as on 31.12.10	Annual requirement	USB as % of Annual requirement.
1	S.Madhopur	167.43	754.18	22%
2	Churu	246.40	1107.30	22%
3	Jhunjhunu	194.83	862.84	23%
4	Nagaur	429.50	1845.85	23%
5	Pali	348.94	1447.00	24%
6	Kota	196.59	780.09	25%
7	Dausa	257.61	991.14	26%
8	Barmer	1281.11	2373.46	54%

#### 2.5.4 Utilization of Cooking cost

#### PRIMARY + UPPER PRIMARY: (01.04.10 to 31.12.10)

Considering that three-fourths of the year is over by 31.12.2010, the districts should have utilized <u>at least 75%</u> of the allocation of cooking cost. **State level utilization is 72%.** 

#### Utilization as on 31.12.2009

<u>Total No. of Districts = 33</u> (Bench mark utilisation = 75%) (Ref : Table no. 4.7 of Analysis Sheet)

SI. No.	Level of utilisation	No. of Districts	Name of the districts
1	Upto 50%	0	
2	51% to 75%	24	Jaiselmer (51%),Udaipur (54%)etc.
3	Above 75%	9	

State Govt is requested to clarify why utilization is low in Jaiselmer (51%), Udaipur (54%) etc.

#### 2.6 Reconciliation of utilisation and performance during 2009-10 (1.4.10 to 31.12.10)

#### 2.6.1 Mismatch in utilization of Food grains vis-à-vis cooking cost:

Ideally the percentage utilization of cooking costs and foodgrains should tally with each other. State level utilization is as under:

	Food grains	Cooking cost	Mis-match
Primary+ Upper Primary (against 75%)	70%	72%	2 pp

#### PRIMARY and UPPER PRIMARY: (01.04.10 to 31.12.10)

Total No. of Districts = 33

(Ref: Table 5.1 of the Analysis sheet)

Sr. No.	District	% utilisation of foodgrains	% utilisation of Cooking cost	Mis-match in % points		
Exces	Excess foodgrains utilization					
1	Barmer	65%	75%	10		
2	Jaipur	67%	79%	12		
3	Jodhpur	66%	79%	13		
4	Nagaur	65%	78%	13		
Exces	ss Cooking cost utilization					
1	Udaipur	70%	54%	-16		
2	Jaiselmer	66%	51%	-15		
3	Partapgarh	83%	69%	-14		
4	Bundi	87%	74%	-13		
5	Baran	83%	71%	-12		
6	Sirohi	84%	73%	-11		

#### 2.6.2 Reconciliation of Food grains utilized vis-à-vis No. of Meals served:

An analysis of foodgrains utilisation during 2010-11, It has been noticed that State Govt has shown <u>98% uniformly utilization against expected utilization on the basis on meal served during 01.04.2010 to 31.12.2010 in all districts</u>. State Govt is requested to confirm it.

#### 2.6.3 Reconciliation of Cooking cost utilized vis-à-vis No. of Meals served:

An analysis of cooking cost utilisation during 2010-11, It has been noticed that State Govt has shown <u>98% uniformly utilization against expected utilization on the basis on meal served during 01.04.2010 to 31.12.2010 in all districts</u>. State Govt is requested to confirm it.

#### **2.5 Utilization of MME** (*Reference Table – 6.3 of Analysis Sheet*)

A sum of **Rs.734.32 lakhs** was availability during 2010-2011 as Central Assistance towards MME. Against this, **the State Govt. has utilized an amount of Rs. 453.11 lakhs (62%)**.

#### **2.6** <u>Utilization of Transport Assistance</u> (*Reference Table – 7.3 of Analysis Sheet*)

A sum of **Rs.1142.96 lakhs** was availability during 2010-11 (including unspent balance of Rs. 678.41 lakhs as on 31.3.10) as Central Assistance towards Transport Assistance. Against this, the State has utilized **Rs. 725.44 lakhs (63%).** 

#### **2.7** <u>Construction of Kitchen sheds</u> (*Reference Table -8.3 of Analysis Sheet*)

Out of **Rs. 49929.00 Crore** of Central assistance released towards construction of 81436 kitchen sheds during 2006-07 to 2009-10 for primary and upper primary stage, the State reported an amount of Rs. 375.85 Crore has been incurred for 64528 kitchen sheds (C+IP) as on 31.12.2010. **(Physical-79% and Financial-75% progress)** 

#### **2.8 Procurement of Kitchen devices** (*Reference Table -8.6 of Analysis sheet*)

Out of **Rs. 38.58 Crore** of Central assistance released towards procurement of kitchen devices in 77,169 schools during 2006-07 to 2010-11 for primary and upper primary stage, the State reported an amount of Rs. 37.53 Crore has been incurred for 75055 schools (C+IP) as on 31.12.2010. **(97% progress)** 



#### 3.4. MDM-PAB decisions

No	Details	No. of Institutions as per State Plan (Table 3, 3A and 3B)	No. of Children as per State Plan (Table No.13 /13-A)	Recommendations by Appraisal Team (No. of Children)	Appro val by MDM- PAB
1	No. of Children & Institut	ions			
	Primary				
1.1	Govt. + Govt. aided + LB schools	70146	5110549	4400000	
1.2	EGS	1264	68109		
1.3	AIE				
1.4	Mad/Maq	1163	93757		
1.5	NCLP	168	8338	8338	
	TOTAL (A)	72741	5280753	4408338	
	Upper Primary				
1.5	Govt. + Govt. aided + LB schools	84252	2051179	1900000	
1.6	EGS	1442	1838		
1.7	AIE				
1.8	Mad/Maq	84252	6786		
	TOTAL (B)	86949	2059803	1900000	
	GRAND TOTAL (A) +(B)	87117	7340556	6308338	
2	No. of Working days				
2.1	Primary		230	230	
2.2	Upper Primary		230	230	
2.2	NCLP		300	312	
3	No. of Cooks-cum Helpers (PY+UPY)			1231	

<sup>\*:</sup> upper primary WITHOUT primary. All Primary schools have Upper Primary Section.

.

4. Kitchen-cu	4. Kitchen-cum-store						
Total Institutions (PY+UPY)	Total Sanctioned till 2008-09	Const through convg	Balance Require ment	State's Proposal for 2010-11	Recommendatio ns by Appraisal Team	Approval by MDM-PAB	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
80670	88960	0	0	0	0.00		

<sup>^:</sup> As per achievement during 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter of 2010-11 reported by State in QPR-3.

<sup>^^:</sup> As per achievement during 01.04.10 to 31.12.10 reported by State in AWP&B 2011-12

#### 3.5) QUANTIFICATION OF CENTRAL ASSISSTNCE FOR 2010-11:

### 3.5.1 Food grains:

No.	Stage	PAB's	approval	Quantity in MTs.
		No. of Children	No. of Working days	
1	Primary (@ 100 gms)	44,00,000	230	101200 [30360 (R) & 70840 (W)]
2	NCLP (@ 100 gms)	8,338	312	260.15 [78.04 (R) & 182.10 (W)]
3	Upper Primary (@150 gms.)	19,00,000	230	65550 [19665 (R) & 45885 (W)]
4	<b>Drought Affected Areas</b>			
	Primary	1,26,454	35	442.59 [132.78 (R) & 309.81 (W)]
	Upper Primary	52,600	35	276.15 [82.85 (R) & 193.31 (W)]
	TOTAL	64,87,392		167728.74

## 4.1.2 <u>Cost of foodgrains, Cooking cost, Honorarium to cooks-cum-helpers, Transport Assistance and MME</u>

SI. No.	Component/norm	Amount admissible (Rs. In lakhs)
<u>Prim</u>	nary	
1	Cost of Foodgrains @ Rs. 5650/- per MT for Rice & Rs. 4150/- per MT for Wheat [(30360x5650)+4%] for Rice & [(70840x4150)+4%] for Wheat	4841.40 [1783.95 (R) & 3057.45 (W) ]
2	Cooking Cost	
i	Central Share: Cooking cost @ Rs. 2.17 per child/day (4400000 x 230 days x Rs. 2.17)	21960.40
ii	Minimum State Share: Cooking cost @ Rs. 0.72 per child/day (4400000 x 230 days x Rs. 0.72)	7286.40
3	Transportation Cost @ Rs. 750 per MT (4400000 x 230 days x 0.0001 MT x Rs. 750)	759.00
4	Honorarium to Cook cum helper	
I	Central Share : (144413 x Rs. 750 x 10 months)	10830.98
ii	Minimum State Share: (144413 x Rs. 250 x 10 months)	3610.33
5	Management Monitoring and Evaluation (MME) 1.8% of cost of foodgrains, cooking cost, cost of transportation & Hon. to cook-cum-helpers	691.05
	TOTAL (Excluding State Share)	39082.84
<u>Uppe</u>	r Primary	
1	Cost of Foodgrains @ Rs. 5650/- per MT for Rice & Rs. 4150/- per MT for Wheat [(19665x5650)+4%] for Rice & [(45885x4150)+4%] for Wheat	3135.91 [1155.51 (R) & 1980.40 (W) ]

2	Cooking Cost	
i	Central Share: Cooking cost @ Rs. 3.25 per	
	child/day	14202.50
	(19,00,000x 230 days x Rs. 3.25)	
ii	Minimum State Share: Cooking cost @ Rs. 1.09 per	4700.00
	child/day	4763.30
3	( <b>19,00,000</b> x 230 days x Rs. 1.09)  Transportation Cost @ Rs. 750 per MT	
3	( <b>19,00,000</b> x 230 days x 0.00015 x Rs. 750)	491.62
4	Honorarium to Cook-cum-helpers (mentioned at Sl. No.	4 at Primary)
5	Management Monitoring and Evaluation (MME)	320.94
	1.8% of cost of foodgrains, cooking cost & cost of	
	transportation	10172.22
NO	TOTAL (Excluding State Share)	18150.98
NCL		
1	Cost of Foodgrains @ Rs. 5650/- per MT for Rice & Rs. 4150/- per MT for Wheat	10.45
	[(78x5650)+4%] for Rice & [(182x4150)+4%] for	12.45 [4.59 (R) & 7.86 (W) ]
	[[(76x3636)+4%]   101   Nice & [(162x4136)+4%]   101	[7.00 (11) & 7.00 (VV)]
2	Cooking Cost	
i	Central Share: Cooking cost @ Rs. 2.17 per	
'	child/day	56.45
	( <b>8,338</b> x 312 days x Rs. 2.17)	33.10
ii	Minimum State Share : Cooking cost @ Rs. 0.72 per	
	child/day	18.73
	( <b>8,338</b> x 312 days x Rs. 0.72)	
3	Transportation Cost @ Rs750 per MT	1.95
4	(8,338 x 312 days x 0.0001 MT x Rs. 750)	
4	Management Monitoring and Evaluation (MME) 1.8% of cost of foodgrains, cooking cost & cost of	1.28
	transportation	1.20
	TOTAL (Excluding State Share)	72.12
Drou	ight Affected Areas	: =
Prim	<u> </u>	
1	Cost of Foodgrains @ Rs. 5650/- per MT for Rice &	
	Rs. 4150/- per MT for Wheat	21.17
	[(132.78x5650)+4%] for Rice & [(309.81x4150)+4%]	[7.80 (R) &13.37 (W)]
	for Wheat	
2	Cooking Cost	
	Central Share: Cooking cost @ Rs. 2.17 per	00.04
	child/day ( <b>1,26,454</b> x 35 days x Rs. 2.17)	96.04
ii	Minimum State Share: Cooking cost @ Rs. 0.72 per	
"	child/day	31.87
	( <b>1,26,454</b> x 35 days x Rs. 0.72)	31.07
3	Transportation Cost @ Rs750 per MT	0.00
	( <b>1,26,454</b> x 35 days x 0.0001 MT x Rs. 750)	3.32
4	Management Monitoring and Evaluation (MME) 1.8%	
	of cost of foodgrains, cooking cost & cost of	2.17
	transportation	
	TOTAL (Excluding State Share)	122.70

Upper F	Primary	
1	Cost of Foodgrains @ Rs. 5650/- per MT for Rice & Rs. 4150/- per MT for Wheat	13.21
	[(82.85x5650)+4%] for Rice & [(193.31x4150)+4%] for Wheat	[4.87 (R) & 8.34 (W) ]
2	Cooking Cost	
i	Central Share : Cooking cost @ Rs. 2.17 per child/day	59.83
	( <b>52,600</b> x 35 days x Rs. 2.17)	
ii	Minimum State Share: Cooking cost @ Rs. 0.72 per child/day (52,600 x 35 days x Rs. 0.72)	20.07
3	Transportation Cost @ Rs750 per MT ( <b>52,600</b> x 35 days x 0.0001 MT x Rs. 750)	2.07
4	Management Monitoring and Evaluation (MME) 1.8% of cost of foodgrains, cooking cost & cost of transportation	1.35
	TOTAL (Excluding State Share)	76.47
	GRAND TOTAL (Excluding State Share)	<i>57505.11</i>





# MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT OF SCHOOL EDUCATION AND LITERACY MID DAY MEAL DIVISION



# MDM-PAB meeting to consider and approve AWP&B 2011-12

**STATE: RAJSTHAN** 

(Date of the Meeting: 19.04.2011)

## **APPRAISAL NOTE**

### <u>INDEX</u>

S. No.	Chapters	Page No.
Part-A	General Information	
Part-B	Review of implementation during 01.04.10 to 31.12.10	
Part-C	Recommendations of Appraisal team and quantification of variables for Central Assistance during 2011-12.	
Part-D	Analysis sheet.	